

## Erratum: Lohnas & Kahana 2014

The following values in the tables were reported incorrectly, and were errors of honest oversight made by the first author. None of these change the conclusions drawn from the paper.

- Table 1:  $\eta = .022$
- Table 2, eSAM:  $b_{for} = .856, b_{bac} = .610$
- Table 2, fSAM:  $b_{for} = .949, b_{bac} = .167$